

United States Patent and Trademark Office

the

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,445	02/25/2004	Yasushi Maeno	04110 /LH	5650
1933 FRISHAUF, H	7590 10/15/200 OLTZ, GOODMAN &		EXAM	INER
220 Fifth Avenue NEGRON, WANDA M				WANDA M
16TH Floor NEW YORK, 1	NY 10001-7708	•	, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2622	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/15/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

***************************************		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		- 10/787,445	MAENO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Wanda M. Negrón	2622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	-			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communicat NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	August 2007					
·		s action is non-final.					
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)🖾	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Mail Date ormal Patent Application				
. —	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/787,445

Art Unit: 2622

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suemoto et al. (US Application Publication No. 2001/0009443 A1), and further in view of Abgrall (US 6,401,202 B1).

Regarding **claim 1**, Suemoto et al. disclose a camera device (see figure 7A-7B) comprising an optical system (14, 26, 28, 61, and 74), and a control unit (22) which starts the initialization of the optical system before the operating system is started, i.e. before a photographing processing can be carried out as an interrupt (see paragraph [0076]), when a recording mode for photographing is set (see paragraphs [0066] – [0068]), and which suspends initialization of the optical system when a playback mode, i.e. a play mode (see paragraph [0066]), for display is set (see paragraph [0067]).

Suemoto et al., however, do not explicitly teach a setting unit configured to set an initialization of the optical system to drive the optical system to a predetermined state as an interrupt processing of an operating system before the operating system is started.

Abgrall, on the other hand, discloses a BIOS device enabled for multitasking by using interrupt signals at predetermined interrupt times (see Abstract).

Application/Control Number: 10/787,445

Art Unit: 2622

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the multitasking operation of the BIOS device taught by Abgrall to initialize the optical system of Suemoto et al. to a known state before loading the operating system in order to decrease the amount of time required to initialize the camera for recording (see Suemoto et al., paragraph [0081]) while still performing other time-consuming system initializations and memory tests.

While it may not be explicitly stated in the references above that the functionality of an electronic device such as a computer system may be realized by a digital camera, it is well known to a skilled artisan that a digital camera and a computer system are in the same field of endeavor as they are both microcontroller/microprocessor controlled devices for processing data, such as imaging, image processing, and/or image manipulation.

Even if a digital camera and a computer system are not in the same field of endeavor, which the examiner does not concede, a digital camera and a computer system are reasonably pertinent to solving the problem of controlling the initialization of an optical system before performing other initialization routines, and would have commended themselves to an artisan addressing such a problem. In re Clay, 966 F.2d 656, 658, 23 USPQ2d 1058, 1060 (Fed. Cir. 1992).

Regarding **claims 2 and 3**, Suemoto et al., as modified by Abgrall, discloses that said optical system comprises a sinkable lens, i.e. a collapsible lens (see figures 3-5),

Application/Control Number: 10/787,445 Page 4

Art Unit: 2622

which is inherently a movable lens.

Method **claims 4, 5 and 6** are drawn to the method of using the corresponding apparatus claimed in claims 1, 2 and 3. Therefore method claims 4, 5 and 6 correspond to apparatus claims 1, 2 and 3 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Claims 7, 8 and 9 are drawn to a computer program stored in a computer readable medium corresponding to the method claimed in claims 4, 5 and 6. Therefore claims 7, 8 and 9 correspond to method claims 4, 5 and 6 and are rejected for the same reasons of obviousness as used above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2622

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wanda M. Negrón whose telephone number is (571) 270-1129. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 6:30 am - 4:00 pm alternate Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,445

Art Unit: 2622

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

/Wanda M. Negrón/

Examiner, Art Unit 2622 October 11, 2007

> DAVID OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6